Express Mail Label No.: EV 464 272 603 US

Date of Deposit: May 25, 2005

Attorney Docket No. 18133-089

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

Raymond M. Fallon et al.

Serial No.:

10/016,732

Filed:

December 11, 2001

For:

POWER SUPPLY ALARM CONTROL SYSTEM

Examiner:

Davetta Woods Goins

Art Unit:

2632

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attn: Office of Patent Publication/Publishing Division

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing in the above identified application are the following:

- (1) RESPONSE TO THE NOTICE OF DRAWING INCONSISTENCY WITH SPECIFICAITON MAILED ON APRIL 26, 2005; AND
- (2) RETURN RECEIPT POSTCARD.

Should any of the items identified above be missing from this submission, the Office is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at 617-348-4914.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any balance required, or any overpayment, to the deposit account of the undersigned, Deposit Account No. 50-0311, referencing Attorney Docket No. 18133-089. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is provided for this purpose.

Respectfully submitt

Carol M. Peters

Registration No. 45,010

MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS GLOVSKY and POPEO, P.C.

Attorneys for Applicant One Financial Center

Boston, Massachusetts 02111 Telephone: 617/348-4914 Facsimile: 617/542-2241

email: chpeters@mintz.com

TRA 2039273v1

Date: May 25, 2005

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully submit that the above requested amendment to the specification pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(b) corrects the inconsistency between the Drawings and the Brief Description of the Drawings in the specification of the present application. Applicants respectfully submit that the amendment to the specification does not add new subject matter to the present application and has antecedent basis in the Drawings. Applicants, therefore, respectfully request the amendment be entered into the record.

Based on the foregoing amendment, the present application is believed to be in condition for publication, and such action is respectfully requested. Should the Office have any questions concerning this response, the Office is invited to telephone the undersigned.

1 That to

Registration No. 45,010

MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS GLOVSKY and POPEO, P.C.

Attorneys for Applicant(s)

One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111

Telephone: 617/348-4914

Facsimile: 617/542-2241

email: cpeters@mintz.com

TRA 2039029v1

Date: May 25, 2005

Express Mail Label No.: EV 464 272 603 US

Date of Deposit: May 25, 2005

Attorney Docket No. 18133-089

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

Raymond M. Fallon et al.

Serial No.:

10/016,732

Filed:

December 11, 2001

For:

POWER SUPPLY ALARM CONTROL SYSTEM

Examiner:

Davetta Woods Goins

Art Unit:

2632

Mail Stop Issue Fee 'Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attn: Office of Patent Publication/Publishing Division

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF DRAWING INCONSISTENCY WITH SPECIFICATION MAILED APRIL 26, 2005

Sir:

Responsive to the Notice of Drawing Inconsistency With Specification mailed April 26, 2005, Applicants respond below to the issues raised therein.

The Notice indicated that an inconsistency exists between the Drawings and the Brief Description of the Drawings in the specification of the present application. Specifically, the Notice indicated that Figure 4 contained in the Drawings is not listed in the Brief Description of the Drawings. Applicants provide below an amendment to the specification pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(b) to correct the above noted inconsistency.

Amendment to Specification Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(b)

Applicants respectfully request amendment of the Brief Description of the Drawings as provided below, beginning on page 3, line 13, to correct the specification in accordance with the Notice discussed above.

Brief Description of the Drawings

For a better understanding of the present invention, reference is made to the drawings which are incorporated herein by reference and in which:

- FIG. 1 is a block diagram of the power supply critical state monitoring system according to the present invention;
- FIG. 2 is a flowchart of an exemplary method of monitoring a power supply according to the present invention; and
- FIG. 3 is a flowchart of an exemplary method of monitoring a power supply once it is determined that the power supply has lost communication with a computer network; and
- FIG. 4 is a flowchart of an exemplary method of indicating an occurrence of a predetermined event of a power supply according the present invention.